Flavor Decomposition of the Sea Quark Helicity Distributions in the Nucleon from Semi-inclusive Deep-inelastic Scattering
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Double-spin asymmetries of semi-inclusive cross sections for the production of identified pions and kaons have been measured in deep-inelastic scattering of polarized positrons on a polarized deuterium target. Five helicity distributions including those for three sea quark flavors were extracted from these data together with re-analyzed previous data for identified pions from a hydrogen target. These distributions are consistent with zero for all three sea flavors. A recently predicted flavor asymmetry in the polarization of the light quark sea appears to be disfavored by the data.

The quark-parton picture of nucleon structure includes the three valence quarks that define the quantum numbers of the bound state, gluons that mediate the strong force between the quarks, as well as a significant presence of virtual sea quarks from gluon splitting and non-perturbative processes. The relativistic motion of these bound partons has been thoroughly studied, mainly in deeply inelastic scattering (DIS) of leptons [1]. However, the photon exchange that dominates the interactions of charged leptons limits their flavor sensitivity to the magnitude of the quark charge, failing to distinguish sea quarks. The parity-violating charged-current interaction present in neutrino scattering and W± production helps to distinguish the parton distribution functions (PDFs) $q(x)$ of quarks and antiquarks of flavors $q$ = (up, down, strange, charm) [2, 3]. Here $x$ is the dimensionless Bjorken scaling variable representing the momentum fraction of the target carried by the parton in the frame where the target has “infinite” momentum.

These PDFs depend on whether the parton’s helicity is equal or opposite to that of the nucleon. The differences, or helicity distributions, $\Delta q(x) = q^{+1}(x) - q^{-1}(x)$ are much less well known, not only because of the far more limited data set for scattering of polarized charged leptons on polarized targets, but also because polarized targets are presently impractical with neutrino beams. A standard approach is to further constrain the problem using the different flavor sensitivity of hyperon $\beta$ decay data, via the additional assumption of SU(3) flavor symmetry among the structures of the octet baryons. The first such analysis 15 years ago [4] revealed the celebrated “proton spin puzzle” — an apparent cancellation among all the $\Delta q$’s to make a small net contribution to the spin 1/2 of the nucleon. Also, the strange sea polarization appeared to be negative. These findings have since been confirmed with steadily improving precision [5].

An alternative approach avoids this assumption about SU(3) symmetry by extracting more information from the DIS data. A quark that absorbs an energetic virtual photon gives rise to a “jet” of final-state hadrons, the composition of which reflects the flavor of the struck quark. At the moderate energies of present fixed-target measurements where few hadrons are produced, individual hadrons from the fragmentation of the struck quark can also serve to “tag” its flavor. This exploitation of hadrons detected with the scattered leptons in such semi-inclusive measurements requires knowledge of the probabilities of the various types $h$ of hadrons emerging from a struck quark of a given flavor $q$. These probabilities are embodied in the fragmentation functions $D_h^q(z)$, where $z \equiv E_h/\nu$ and $\nu$ and $E_h$ are the energies in the target rest frame of the absorbed virtual photon (and hence of the struck quark) and the detected hadron. Although fragmentation functions have been extracted from mostly high energy $e^+e^-$ collider data [6, 7], their applicability at lower energies is supported by their agreement with fragmentation functions [8] for charged pions extracted from HERMES measurements of hadron multiplicities, and also by the agreement between neutral pion multiplicities measured at widely different energies [9].

Given an adequate understanding of the fragmentation process, a complete flavor decomposition of the quark and antiquark helicity distributions can be extracted from sufficiently precise measurements of double-spin asymmetries in the cross sections for leptoproduction of various types of hadrons. In leading order (LO), the semi-inclusive virtual photo-absorption cross section for production of a hadron of type $h$ takes the factorized form

$$\sigma^h(x, z) \propto \sum_q e_q^2 q(x) D_h^q(z). \quad (1)$$

The sum at the moderate beam energies considered here is over quark and antiquark flavors $q = (u, \bar{u}, d, \bar{d}, s, \bar{s})$, and $e_q$ is the quark charge. For simplicity, we suppress here the weak logarithmic dependence of all functions on the spatial resolution scale corresponding to $-Q^2$, the squared four-momentum of the exchanged virtual photon. The double-spin asymmetry $A_1^h$ in the above cross section is then given by

$$A_1^h(x, z) = \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 \Delta q(x) D_h^q(z) (1 + R(x))}{\sum_q e_q^2 q(x) D_h^q(z) (1 + \gamma^2)}, \quad (2)$$

where the factor involving $\gamma^2 = Q^2/\nu^2$ and $R$, the ratio of longitudinal to transverse virtual photon cross sections, accounts for the longitudinal component included.
in most parametrizations of the unpolarized PDFs \( q(x) \). With asymmetry data available for a variety of hadrons from both proton and “neutron” targets, the above system of equations becomes sufficiently constrained to be solved to extract the \( \Delta q(x) \) for several flavors \( q \). Two such analyses have been reported \([10, 11]\), using undifferentiated hadrons. The limited statistical precision of those asymmetry data required severe symmetry constraints to be applied among the polarizations of the sea quark flavors. Here we report much more precise asymmetry data for identified pions and kaons from a deuterium target recorded by HERMES in 1998–2000. In combination with identified pions from hydrogen in 1996–1997 and with inclusive data, they result in the first extraction of quark polarizations for five independent flavors from semi-inclusive data. Sea quarks of all three flavors are treated independently, although in the strange sector, only \( \Delta s(x) \) could be extracted and not \( \Delta \bar{s}(x) \).

Asymmetries sensitive to the helicity distributions require both the beam and target to be polarized. A unique feature of the HERMES experiment is it's target of pure nuclear-polarized atomic gas quasi-confined in an open-ended 40 cm long cylindrical storage cell, through which passes the \( E = 27.6 \text{ GeV} \) electron/positron beam of the HERA storage ring at DESY. The self-induced beam polarization is measured continuously by two independent polarimeters using Compton backscattering of circularly polarized laser light \([12, 13]\). The average beam polarization for the deuteron (proton) data set was 0.844 (0.824) with a fractional systematic uncertainty of 1.9% (3.4%). The target cell is fed by an atomic-beam source based on Stern-Gerlach separation \([14]\) with hyperfine transitions. The nuclear polarization of the atoms is flipped at 90s time intervals, while both this polarization and the atomic fraction inside the target cell are continuously measured \([15, 16]\). The average value of the deuteron (proton) polarization was 0.844 (0.824) with a fractional systematic uncertainty of 4.4 (4.2)%.

Scattered beam leptons and any coincident hadrons are detected by the HERMES spectrometer \([17]\). Leptons are identified with an efficiency exceeding 98% and a hadron contamination of less than 1% using an electromagnetic calorimeter, a transition-radiation detector, a preshower scintillation counter and a Čerenkov detector. Another unique feature of the experiment is the complete hadron identification provided for the deuteron data set by a dual-radiator ring-imaging Čerenkov detector \([18]\). Only pions were identified by a threshold-Čerenkov detector during the earlier hydrogen measurements.

Events were selected subject to the kinematic requirements \( Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2, W^2 > 10 \text{ GeV}^2 \) and \( y < 0.85 \), where \( W \) is the invariant mass of the photon-nucleon system, and \( y = \nu / E \). Coincident hadrons were accepted if \( 0.2 < z < 0.8 \) and \( x_F \approx 2p_L / W > 0.1 \), where \( p_L \) is the longitudinal momentum of the hadron with respect to the virtual photon direction in the photon-nucleon center of mass frame. The limit on \( x_F \) suppressed most of the contamination of the hadron sample by target fragmentation, as evidenced by the consistency of all the \( \Delta q(x) \) extracted in \( 0.45 < z < 0.8 \) with those from \( 0.2 < z < 0.45 \) where target fragmentation is more likely to appear, and by the negligible effect on any \( \Delta q(x) \) in the range \( x < 0.3 \) of excluding inclusive asymmetries from the analysis.

The (semi-)inclusive virtual photo-absorption asymmetries \( A_h^q \) were derived from the lepton scattering asymmetries \( A_h^q \) using the relation \( A_h^q = A_h^q / [D(1 + \gamma \eta)] \), where \( D \) is the depolarization factor for the virtual photon and \( \eta \) is a kinematic factor \([19]\). The effects of internal QED radiation and instrumental resolution were simulated \([20–22]\), and corrections were applied to \( A_h^q \) using a technique that unfolds kinematic migration of events \([23]\). This results in small statistical correlations between bins in \( x \), which were incorporated in the subsequent analysis. Small corrections were applied for the combined effect of the dependences on the hadron azimuthal angle of the spectrometer acceptance and of the unpolarized cross section.

The corrected semi-inclusive asymmetries for the deuteron target are shown in Fig. 1. They represent the first such results for identified pions and kaons. The proton and inclusive deuteron asymmetries as well as more details about the analysis can be found in Ref. \([24]\). The asymmetries for the proton from 1996–1997 differ within the systematic uncertainties from the published values \([11]\) due only to refinements in the analysis such as those described above \([24]\). The contributions to the systematic uncertainties on \( A_h^q \) include those from the beam and target polarizations, estimates of those due to the effects of the spectrometer acceptance, which were studied using the PEPSI/JETSET DIS Monte Carlo event generator \([20, 22]\) with the photon-gluon fusion and QCD-Compton processes enabled, a contribution from the ratio \( R \) \([25]\), and an estimate of the neglected small effect of the spin structure function \( g_2(x) \) \([26]\) representing interference of longitudinal and transverse photons.

Integrating Eq. (1) over \( z \), Eq. (2) becomes

\[
A_h^q(x) = \sum_q P_h^q(x) \frac{\Delta q(x)}{q(x)} \frac{(1 + R(x))}{(1 + \gamma^2)},
\]

where \( P_h^q(x) \) are the spin-independent purities:

\[
P_h^q(x) \equiv \frac{\epsilon_q^2 \int_q^0 q'(z) \int_{0.2}^{0.8} D_h^q(z) \, dz}{\sum_q \epsilon_q^2 \int_q^0 q'(z) \int_{0.2}^{0.8} D_h^q(z) \, dz}.
\]

Each purity function describes the conditional probability that the virtual photon hit a quark of flavor \( q \) when a hadron of type \( h \) was detected. In the inclusive case, \( P_h^q \) is replaced by \( P_q(x) = \epsilon_q^2 \int_q^0 q'(z) \, dz / \sum_q \epsilon_q^2 \int_q^0 q'(z) \). In analogy with Eq. (4), the purities used in this analysis were extracted from the above-mentioned Monte Carlo simulation (but now including only the effects of
geometric acceptance and not those of QED radiation and detector resolution) as \( p_t^h(x) = N_t^h(x)/\sum_q N_t^q(x) \), where \( N_t^h \) is the number of hadrons of type \( h \), in the geometric experimental acceptance and in the interval \( 0.2 < z < 0.8 \), that were produced when a quark of flavor \( q \) was struck. The simulation employs the CTEQ5L leading order parametrization [2] for the unpolarized PDFs, and JETSET fragmentation parameters that were tuned to approximate hadron multiplicities measured at HERMES [24]. Nuclear corrections for the deuteron target were applied, based on a D-state probability \( \omega_D = 0.05 \pm 0.01 \) [27].

Eq. (3) can be written in matrix form

\[
\vec{A}(x) = \mathcal{P}(x) \cdot \vec{Q}(x),
\]

where the measured asymmetries are elements of the vector \( \vec{A}(x) = (A_{1p}, A_{1d}, A_{1d}^+, A_{1d}^+), (A_{1d}, A_{1d}, A_{1d}^+, A_{1d}^+)^T \). The matrix \( \mathcal{P} \) contains the purities for the proton and deuteron, while the vector \( \vec{Q}(x) \) contains the quark and antiquark polarizations:

\[
\vec{Q}(x) = \left( \frac{\Delta u}{u}, \frac{\Delta d}{d}, \frac{\Delta \bar{u}}{u}, \frac{\Delta \bar{d}}{d}, \frac{\Delta s}{s}, \frac{\Delta \bar{s}}{s} \right) \equiv \left( \Delta\beta, \Delta\beta, \Delta\beta, \Delta\beta, \Delta\beta, \Delta\beta \right). (6)
\]

The set of Eq. (5) evaluated in all \( x \)-bins is solved together for the vector \( \vec{Q} \) by \( \chi^2 \)-minimisation, accounting for the correlations between \( x \)-bins and between the various asymmetries. For \( x > 0.3 \) the sea polarizations are set to zero, and the effect on the non-sea polarizations of varying these sea polarizations by \( \pm 1/\sqrt{3} \) (the standard deviation of a distribution uniform over \( \pm 1 \)) is included in their systematic uncertainties. A contribution from a similar variation of \( [\Delta \bar{s}/s] \) is applied for all \( x \).

Finally, the \( \Delta q(x) \) results are determined as the products of the extracted polarizations \( [\Delta q/q](x) \) and the unpolarized PDFs from Ref. [2] at the mean scale \( \langle Q^2 \rangle = 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \) of the present work. It is assumed that the polarizations are independent of \( Q^2 \) within the \( Q^2 \) range of this measurement. The systematic uncertainties on the \( \Delta q(x) \) include contributions from the \( A_t^h \) data and those from fragmentation, which were obtained by comparing the results from two sets of fragmentation parameters in JETSET. Fig. 2 shows the results compared to two LO QCD fits [28, 29] to all available inclusive data. These fits assume not only SU(3) flavor symmetry to incorporate hyperon beta decay data, but also explicit symmetry among the three sea quark distributions.

The extracted distributions \( \Delta u(x) \) and \( \Delta d(x) \) are consistent with previous (semi-)inclusive results [10, 11], but have much improved precision. The sea distributions, extracted separately here for the first time, are consistent with zero and with each other. The strange sea was previously found to be negatively polarized in the analysis of only inclusive data assuming SU(3) symmetry applied to hyperon beta decay data. However, the first moments from such analyses evaluated over the measured \( x \)-range \( (\Delta s + \Delta \bar{s})/2 \) is typically not in disagreement with that partial moment of the density extracted here: \( \Delta s = +0.03 \pm 0.03 \text{(stat.)} \pm 0.01 \text{(syst.)} \).

Fig. 3 shows the flavor asymmetry \( \Delta u(x) - \Delta d(x) \) in the light quark sea in comparison with the prediction of a theoretical calculation based on the chiral quark soliton model [30]. This model is an effective field theory embodying fundamental features of QCD that successfully describes a large body of baryon properties [31]. For example, it explains the previously observed substantial value for the unpolarized flavour asymmetry \( \Delta u(x) - \Delta d(x) \) [32]. Its prediction for the polarized moment is \( \Delta u - \Delta d = +0.21 \pm 0.05 \), to be compared to the present experimental value of \( +0.05 \pm 0.06 \text{(stat.)} \pm 0.03 \text{(syst.)} \). The difference is about 2\( \sigma \), when combining all uncertainties in quadrature. Again both moments are evaluated over only the measured range.

In conclusion, a purity-based extraction from new semi-inclusive DIS data has produced separated helicity distributions for five flavors: \( \Delta u, \Delta d, \Delta \bar{u}, \Delta \bar{d}, \) and \( \Delta s \).
FIG. 2: Quark helicity distributions at \( <Q^2> = 2.5 \text{GeV}^2 \), as a function of Bjorken \( x \), compared to two LO QCD fits to previously published inclusive data shown as dashed [28] ("standard scenario") and dot-dashed [29] ("scenario 1") curves. The error bars are statistical and the bands at the bottom represent the contribution due to the uncertainties of the fragmentation model, and the dark area is the contribution due to those of the asymmetries.

FIG. 3: The light quark sea flavor asymmetry \( x \cdot (\Delta u - \Delta d) \) in the helicity distributions, at \( <Q^2> = 2.5 \text{GeV}^2 \), compared to a theoretical prediction [30] (dashed curve with theoretical uncertainty band). The experimental error bars and bands have the same meaning as in Fig. 2.

The polarized densities for all sea flavors are found to be consistent with zero.
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